

Western Shasta Resource Conservation District

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant to verify response.
- #5 – Applicant to verify response.
- #8b – The narrative does not support “5 to 19 times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #8d – The narrative does not support “At least 30 times per year”. Identify how often the ASI / MSF trainings are provided to the public.
- #9 - Applicant to verify response.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has secured land to be developed for OHV Recreation”. The land identified is not newly acquired property. Also, the narrative does not support “Has created a special fund to set aside funding to sustain OHV Recreation”.

Restoration	G11-07-04-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – “Other-District Manager” – Appears to be an Indirect Cost. Explain the role of “Other-District Manager” and how it is directly related to the project. Staff – “Other-Accountant” is an Indirect Cost. Staff – “Other-Project Manager” – Appears to be an Indirect Cost. Explain the role of “Other-Project Manager” and how it is directly related to the project. Materials/Supplies – “Other-Meeting Supplies” – Refreshments are not an eligible cost. Other – All items listed in this category are Indirect Costs. Indirect – Total Indirect Costs may not exceed 15% of the Grant Request. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #3 – Identify a dated reference document that supports the need for the project. #5 – Supply the date for the identified plan. #7 – Identify the meeting dates, names of interested parties and stakeholders. #9 – Not applicable. The project is not a scientific or cultural study. 	

Education and Safety	G11-07-04-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – District Manager, Accountant positions appear to be Indirect Costs. Explain the role of each and how it is directly related to the project. Equipment Use Expense – 5000 miles appears excessive, more information and breakdown needed. Other – Utilities, Postage, Printing are Indirect Costs. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – Applicant must verify response. #4 – Provide additional information on how BLM will contribute to the project, identify which specific BLM office. #6 – Narrative does not support Conference calls selection, identify other stakeholders. #7 – Narrative does not support Objectives, Evaluation and Feedback selections. #8 – Narrative supports 1-10K selection. #9 – Narrative supports 5 min to 1 hr selection. 	